



The Inner Critic and the Longing to Belong: An Interdisciplinary Inquiry into Origins, Function, and Healing

The “inner critic” is a nearly universal human experience—an internal voice marked by judgment, shame, perfectionism, and self-doubt. While often pathologized or misunderstood as mere negative self-talk, this voice is more accurately a complex psycho-somatic adaptation formed in response to emotional wounding and developmental trauma. Drawing from affective neuroscience (Panksepp), somatic neuropsychology (Damasio), attachment theory (Schorer), and parts-based psychotherapeutic models (Schwartz), this manuscript explores the origins, function, and transformation of the inner critic through a deeply integrative lens. Diana Fosha’s model of transformational affect illuminates how the critic functions as a guardian of intolerable vulnerability, and how healing requires not its suppression but its relational integration.

The inner critic is further contextualized through the insights of Carl Jung, who identified such inner figures as manifestations of the shadow—exiled elements of the psyche seeking reintegration. Henri Nouwen offers a spiritual counterpoint, naming the critic as a voice of the false self, born of conditional love and the fear of not being worthy. Peter Levine adds a somatic perspective, showing how trauma stored in the nervous system constrains emotional freedom and gives rise to chronic internalized tension and self-protective inhibition. Through these interdisciplinary frameworks, the inner critic is reimagined as a loyal, if misdirected, protector—one whose harshness reveals the ache for safety, coherence, and belonging. This paper proposes an experiential and relational pathway for healing the critic, grounded in neuroscience, embodied trauma work, and compassionate reconnection with the true self.

The Voice We Inherited

There is a voice within us—often relentless, urgent, and unkind—that speaks not just to our flaws but to our worth. It tells us we are not enough, that we must do more, be more, or hide entirely. This voice is the inner critic. While often experienced as a cruel saboteur, it is more accurately understood as a protector formed in the crucible of emotional pain.

Across disciplines, from neuroscience to spirituality, the inner critic can be reinterpreted not as pathology, but as adaptation. In the language of Internal Family Systems (Schwartz), it is a

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT

brian@mftassociates.com

“manager part,” striving to prevent re-experiencing past shame or rejection. In Diana Fosha’s AEDP model, the critic functions as a defensive affect—a structure built around protecting core vulnerability. These perspectives see the critic not as the enemy of healing, but as its doorway.

Neuroscience deepens this picture. Jaak Panksepp’s foundational work on the SEEKING, PANIC, and FEAR systems reveals how early attachment injuries disrupt the brain’s capacity to pursue connection and regulate emotional states. Antonio Damasio’s somatic marker hypothesis illustrates how emotion is embedded in the body, shaping not only how we feel but who we believe we are. In this view, the inner critic is not just a thought—it is a felt sense, a conditioned posture of self in the world.

Carl Jung offers a profound depth-psychological interpretation. The critic, he might say, is a voice of the *shadow*—the parts of ourselves disowned or exiled to secure belonging. It carries the rage, need, or grief we were not allowed to express. To encounter the critic is to come into contact with the unlived life. It is a summons from the unconscious, asking to be known, not silenced.

Henri Nouwen speaks to the soul of this dynamic. He describes the “false self” as the identity we construct to earn love and avoid abandonment. The inner critic is its mouthpiece. It emerges when we forget our inherent belovedness and instead strive for perfection, approval, or invisibility. Beneath its harshness is the longing to be seen and held without condition.

Peter Levine brings the body back into the conversation. His work in Somatic Experiencing shows that trauma is not just psychological—it is biological, held in incomplete survival responses, frozen arousal, and constricted movement. The critic is often a symptom of this physiology: a bracing against life itself. It speaks from a nervous system that has learned to equate expression with danger.

This paper brings these threads together: neuroscience, depth psychology, somatic trauma theory, and relational psychotherapy. It asks not how to silence the critic, but how to *understand* it—how to see it as the voice of a protector who was once trying to keep us alive, loved, and safe. Through insight, compassion, and embodied relationship, that voice can change. It can soften. It can become something else entirely: a wise, internal ally in the journey toward wholeness.

This inquiry is further enriched by the voices of Carl Jung and Henri Nouwen. For Jung, the inner critic can be seen as an emissary of the shadow—an unconscious part of the psyche containing rejected truths and unmetabolized pain. To face the critic is to engage in the individuation process: the integration of the split-off self. For Nouwen, the critic represents the voice of the false self—the self constructed to earn love, control shame, or avoid abandonment. Healing, for Nouwen, is the return to belovedness: a reclaiming of the truth that we are already worthy, already held.

I. Evolutionary Origins and Neurobiological Foundations

Affective Neuroscience and Early Alarm Systems (Panksepp)

Jaak Panksepp's affective neuroscience provides a foundational lens for understanding the emotional roots of the inner critic. His identification of core subcortical emotional systems—such as FEAR, PANIC/GRIEF, and RAGE—suggests that long before language or cognition, mammals are equipped with deep neural circuitry designed to ensure survival in the face of threat and separation. These systems, particularly PANIC/GRIEF and FEAR, are primary drivers of attachment-related responses in early development.

In environments where caregivers are unreliable, inconsistent, punitive, or emotionally absent, a child's PANIC/GRIEF system is frequently activated, registering the threat of abandonment as a danger to life itself. To a child, disconnection is not metaphorically threatening—it is neurologically catastrophic. When this system is over-activated without adequate repair, the child must adapt. One such adaptation is the emergence of self-directed aggression through the inner critic: a voice that says, “Don't cry,” “Be good,” or “It's your fault they're mad,” as a strategy to maintain attachment and suppress unbearable feelings of loss or rejection.

The FEAR system, which mediates vigilance, freezing, and avoidance, also plays a critical role. A child who experiences unpredictable outbursts, criticism, or neglect learns to anticipate danger in relational contexts. This anticipation gives rise to hypervigilance—not only toward others but toward the self. Over time, the self becomes the object of suspicion. The critic is born as an inner monitor, warning against behaviors or emotions that once led to relational rupture.

Thus, what we call “self-criticism” may be better understood as a neurobiological adaptation to early affective overwhelm. It is a fear-based system turned inward, seeking to prevent external rejection by controlling internal impulses.

Right-Brain Development and Attachment Trauma (Schore)

Allan Schore's work on early right-brain development further illuminates the emergence of the inner critic as a function of attachment-related neurobiology. In the first years of life, the right hemisphere dominates brain development and is responsible for processing affective, somatic, and relational information. This hemisphere is particularly sensitive to nonverbal cues, facial expressions, tone of voice, and the felt sense of connection. It is also the part of the brain most implicated in the formation of the implicit self.

When the right-brain environment is marked by misattunement—when caregivers fail to accurately reflect, soothe, or regulate the child's internal states—the child's own right-brain structures develop under conditions of emotional fragmentation. The result is not just insecure attachment but a neurobiological encoding of shame, fear, and dysregulation. These early experiences form the basis of procedural memory: nonverbal, body-based expectations of how the world—and the self—will respond.

The inner critic may arise as a defensive structure within the right hemisphere, tasked with managing these disorganized affective states. It becomes the internalized voice of the caregiver—not only in content but in tone, facial expression, and posture. In this sense, the critic is not a cognitive distortion but a right-brain relic: a relational memory that lives in the musculature of the face, the tightening of the diaphragm, and the heat of self-consciousness.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

Importantly, these implicit patterns persist into adulthood unless they are brought into awareness and met with new relational experiences that provide safety, attunement, and repair. Until then, the critic acts as a developmental echo chamber—repeating the affective messages the child once absorbed: “You are too much,” “You are not enough,” “You are the problem.”

Somatic Markers and Emotional Memory (Damasio)

Antonio Damasio’s somatic-marker hypothesis offers a third dimension to understanding the inner critic: the role of bodily-encoded emotional memory. According to Damasio, emotions are stored as “somatic markers”—bodily sensations linked to specific experiences that inform future decision-making. These markers act as shortcuts, helping the organism assess risk without needing to consciously recall every past experience.

When a child experiences rejection, humiliation, or failure—particularly in high-stakes relational settings—these experiences are encoded not just in memory but in the body. The fluttering stomach before speaking, the tightening chest in the face of feedback, the urge to shrink or apologize—these are not irrational responses. They are somatic markers tied to earlier pain, activated by the critic as a warning system: “Don’t go there—it’s not safe.”

The inner critic, then, becomes a neuro-somatic loop. It draws upon old emotional injuries to guide present behavior, seeking to prevent repetition of trauma by constraining expression. But in doing so, it also constrains aliveness. The individual becomes governed by avoidance—of shame, of risk, of intimacy—and the critic masquerades as wisdom while functioning as inhibition.

When viewed through Damasio’s lens, healing the inner critic requires more than cognitive insight. It demands the re-mapping of somatic markers through new, regulated emotional experiences that disconfirm the critic’s warnings and allow for embodied trust in safety.

Trauma, as Peter Levine emphasizes, is not in the event but in the *body’s unresolved response* to the event. The inner critic often arises from a nervous system frozen in time—still bound in incomplete defensive responses such as fight, flight, or freeze. In this view, the critic is not merely a cognitive adaptation but a *somatic defense*, emerging to help contain the overwhelming arousal that had no avenue of expression. It is the voice that says, “Don’t move. Don’t speak. Stay small,” because the body remembers that movement once felt dangerous.

Bessel van der Kolk expands this view by showing how trauma alters brain function—deactivating the medial prefrontal cortex (which governs reflection) and heightening amygdala activity (which detects threat). The inner critic thrives in this neurobiological imbalance, where self-awareness is dampened and hypervigilance dominates. It becomes a trauma-driven interpreter of the self—harsh, reactive, and emotionally dysregulated.

II. The Protective Function of the Inner Critic

The Need for Coherence and Control

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

Dan Siegel's theory of interpersonal neurobiology posits that the human mind is fundamentally a system seeking integration and coherence. In early relational environments marked by inconsistency, misattunement, or emotional neglect, the developing brain will prioritize emotional coherence—even at the expense of truth or self-worth. In this context, the inner critic can be understood not simply as a flaw in thinking, but as an emergent structure whose primary task is to create stability in a disorganized system.

Consider the child whose parent regularly withdraws love or punishes emotional expression. Faced with unpredictability, the child must find an explanation that makes sense. One stabilizing interpretation is: "I am bad." This conclusion, painful though it may be, is less terrifying than the alternative: "The person I depend on is incapable of meeting my needs." Internalizing blame gives the child a sense of control—it sustains the illusion that if they could just be better, love and safety would return.

The inner critic thus provides the brain with a coherent, controllable narrative. It maintains attachment by scapegoating the self. While this adaptation preserves hope and reduces chaos in the short term, it comes at the cost of self-acceptance, spontaneity, and emotional freedom. In adulthood, this mechanism persists, often outside of awareness, and is misinterpreted as an intrinsic character flaw rather than a once-useful relational adaptation.

Protector Parts and the Logic of Exile

Internal Family Systems (IFS) model offers a profound reframe: the inner critic is not a defect, but a *protector part*. It emerges in response to what Schwartz calls "exiles"—parts of the psyche that carry burdens of shame, fear, worthlessness, or grief, typically from unresolved childhood trauma. These exiles are so painful and threatening to the internal system that other parts rise up to protect the individual from feeling them. The inner critic is one such part.

Its logic is strategic: "If I attack you before anyone else can, you'll be safe." Or: "If I keep you from speaking, you won't get hurt again." In this model, the critic's apparent hostility masks a profound loyalty to the system's survival. Its methods may be harsh, but its intent is preservation.

The tragedy, of course, is that this strategy is frozen in time. It does not realize that the client is now an adult with more resources, agency, and relational support. The critic continues to operate as if the original danger were still present. It believes its job is permanent and necessary. And because it is rarely welcomed with curiosity or compassion—often met instead with attempts at suppression or denial—it tightens its grip, becoming more aggressive in its protective mission.

In IFS, the key to transformation is not to eliminate or overpower the critic, but to understand it. Clients are guided to approach the critic from a centered "Self"—an inner state of calm, clarity, and compassion. From this stance, they can engage the critic in dialogue, learning about its fears, history, and intentions. Over time, this relational stance allows the critic to feel seen, understood, and supported. Only then can it begin to soften and relinquish its extreme role.

The Paradox of Safety Through Self-Attack

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

At the heart of the inner critic lies a profound paradox: it wounds in order to protect. This paradox often confuses clients, who feel both tormented by the critic and dependent on it. They report needing it to stay motivated, stay small, or stay safe. The critic uses tools like shame, doubt, and rigidity to enforce a kind of emotional quarantine, where the self is held hostage in the name of safety.

But what the critic protects against—shame, rejection, grief—is precisely what needs to be felt in order for healing to occur. The critic is often guarding the gateway to the most vulnerable, exiled parts of the self. It has stood sentry for years, sometimes decades, believing that it must never let down its guard. This is not pathology. This is loyalty born of trauma.

Therapeutically, the moment of breakthrough often comes when the client realizes: “This part is not trying to hurt me. It’s trying to help me.” That realization marks the beginning of a new internal relationship—one in which the critic is met not with hatred but with gratitude and curiosity. Only in this environment can it begin to consider laying down its weapons and taking on a new role.

Carl Jung suggested that parts of the psyche we disown are not destroyed—they are exiled into the unconscious, where they often return in distorted forms. The critic may in fact be the shadow’s emissary: a part of us that carries what we once could not integrate—rage, longing, dependency, or need—and returns it in judgmental disguise. In this way, the critic is not an enemy but a clue. It tells us where the light of consciousness has not yet reached.

From a somatic trauma perspective, the inner critic often emerges in the aftermath of a system that was unable to complete its survival responses. The critic may be the part of the self that says, “Freeze, don’t act,” because action once led to danger. Or it may embody thwarted fight energy, redirected inward as self-attack. As Levine describes, when survival energies are blocked, they turn inward and become symptoms—often including self-blame and chronic muscular tension.

Bessel van der Kolk notes that traumatized individuals frequently lose the ability to distinguish between current safety and past threat. The inner critic is a product of this confusion. It treats present vulnerability as if it were past trauma, enforcing protective rigidity in the name of survival. It is not just a mental habit, but a physiological state: a body-brain feedback loop of self-policing and inhibition.

III. The Impact on the Self and Relationships

The inner critic, while originally formed to maintain safety and attachment, often evolves into a tyrannical presence in adulthood—one that limits self-expression, undermines self-worth, and distorts relational engagement. Though it once functioned to protect, it now enforces a kind of internal captivity. Its influence extends beyond cognition into the deepest strata of identity, emotion, and embodiment.

Shame and the Construction of a False Self

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

Perhaps the most insidious effect of the inner critic is its creation of a shame-based identity. Shame differs from guilt in a crucial way: while guilt says “I did something bad,” shame says “*I am bad.*” The critic traffics in shame. Its constant commentary—“You’re too much,” “You’re not enough,” “You should have known better”—becomes the inner architecture of the self.

Clients internalize these messages not just as beliefs, but as truths etched into the narrative fabric of their being. Dan Siegel describes this process as the shaping of “autobiographical memory”—a mental model of self and world built from early relational experiences. When the critic is the dominant voice in that narrative, it becomes nearly impossible to experience the self as lovable, competent, or whole.

This leads to the development of a “false self,” a concept rooted in the work of Winnicott and echoed in contemporary trauma literature. The false self is a curated persona designed to meet the expectations of others, avoid rejection, and suppress authentic need. It is not a lie—it is a survival strategy. But over time, it leaves the individual feeling empty, unknown, and alone. The critic, though trying to prevent shame, paradoxically sustains it.

Suppression of Vulnerability and the Inhibition of Intimacy

Another devastating consequence of the critic’s rule is the suppression of vulnerability. Vulnerability—defined here as the open expression of emotion, need, or uncertainty—is often targeted by the critic as dangerous. “Don’t cry, they’ll think you’re weak.” “Don’t ask, you’ll be rejected.” “Don’t trust, you’ll get hurt.” These internal warnings lead to emotional constriction and relational isolation.

Many clients come into therapy reporting that they struggle to feel connected in relationships. They may excel professionally or function socially but feel deeply alone. Often, the critic is enforcing an invisible rule: stay guarded to stay safe. This rule may have served them well in early environments where vulnerability led to shame or abandonment, but in adult life, it becomes a barrier to intimacy.

The critic also encourages hyper-independence, stoicism, and perfectionism—qualities that may be admired externally but that often function to keep others at a safe distance. The result is a relational paradox: the client longs for closeness but feels fundamentally unsafe in it. The critic interprets intimacy as risk and pushes the self deeper into performance, defensiveness, or withdrawal.

Chronic Activation of the Stress Response

From a somatic and neurobiological perspective, the inner critic contributes to chronic activation of the body’s stress response systems. The constant internal barrage of judgment and threat perception keeps the sympathetic nervous system—responsible for fight, flight, or freeze—on high alert. Over time, this leads to exhaustion, anxiety, sleep disturbances, digestive issues, and even autoimmune conditions.

The body becomes a battlefield, caught in an invisible war waged by one part of the self against another. Clients often report feeling “on edge,” “tense all the time,” or “afraid of making a mistake.” These symptoms are not simply evidence of anxiety disorders—they are the physiological consequence of living under the rule of an internal taskmaster that never rests.

Moreover, this sustained stress state interferes with the brain’s ability to access the ventral vagal system, which supports calm, connection, and creativity. Without access to this system, the client remains trapped in survival mode—unable to feel safe, playful, or open. The critic, in its quest for safety, ironically perpetuates a state of perceived threat.

The Replication of Early Attachment Patterns

Finally, the inner critic sustains and replicates early attachment dynamics. What was once an external relationship—perhaps with a critical, absent, or anxious caregiver—becomes an internal dynamic. The critic becomes both the persecutor and the attachment figure. The self oscillates between compliance and resistance, shame and striving, collapse and defense.

In relationships, this often manifests as repetition compulsion: clients may be drawn to partners, friends, or authority figures who echo the voice of the critic. They may unconsciously seek out those who mirror the inner narrative, reinforcing the belief that they are unworthy, unlovable, or unsafe. Or, alternately, they may project their own inner critic onto others, assuming judgment where there is none and preemptively withdrawing to avoid imagined rejection.

This relational looping can be profoundly painful. It keeps the client stuck in cycles of loneliness, conflict, or confusion, unable to locate the source of the problem. But when the critic is identified as an internalized part—not the whole truth—the cycle can begin to shift. Therapy becomes a place not just to talk about the critic, but to develop a new relational blueprint in which safety, acceptance, and repair are possible.

Jung wrote that “the greatest burden a child must bear is the unlived life of the parent.” The inner critic often bears that burden. It polices the edges of the self, keeping the individual from expressing the parts that once caused rupture, disappointment, or withdrawal in caregivers. What gets silenced is not just emotion, but *potential*. The inner critic, in its attempt to preserve attachment, becomes the jailer of authenticity.

Henri Nouwen described the false self as “the self that lives in the world of accolades, comparisons, and success.” This self is often curated under the pressure of the inner critic, which says: “Be more. Be better. Be perfect—or be unloved.” The result is a spiritual exhaustion, a hunger to be seen beyond performance. The critic, when dominant, makes it impossible to rest in the truth of belovedness.

As van der Kolk notes, “trauma is not just an event that took place sometime in the past—it is also the imprint left by that experience on mind, brain, and body.” The inner critic is often the voice of that imprint. It mimics the voice of those who hurt, neglected, or shamed us. It perpetuates the physiological constriction of trauma—narrowing the breath, clenching the

muscles, and suppressing affect. It turns what was once done *to* us into something done *within* us. This is how trauma survives—by inhabiting the self’s internal ecology.

IV. Pathways to Healing

The inner critic cannot be silenced through willpower, logic, or defiance alone. Because it originates from deep emotional and neurobiological adaptations, its transformation must happen at the level where it was formed: in relationship, in the body, and in the implicit systems of the mind. Healing the inner critic is not an act of confrontation, but of compassion—of turning toward the part of the self that has long labored in fear and isolation and offering it a new relational truth.

Three interrelated therapeutic frameworks offer particularly powerful maps for this work: Richard Schwartz’s Internal Family Systems (IFS), Diana Fosha’s Accelerated Experiential Dynamic Psychotherapy (AEDP), and the principles of neuroplasticity and interpersonal neurobiology described by Dan Siegel and Allan Schore. These models provide different entry points to the same core process: the reorganization of the inner world through safety, presence, and emotional integration.

Internal Family Systems: Befriending the Critic

In IFS, the inner critic is viewed as a “manager” part—a protector that operates from a place of urgency and burden. It is not malevolent, but often extreme in its tactics. Healing begins not by pushing it away, but by building a relationship between the critic and the client’s Self, which Schwartz defines as a calm, curious, and compassionate center of awareness.

The therapist guides the client to “unblend” from the critic—recognizing it as a part, not the whole. From this differentiation, the client can begin to dialogue with the critic, asking questions like: “What are you afraid would happen if you didn’t do this job?” or “How long have you been carrying this burden?” These questions invite the critic into a relational space where it is no longer isolated, demonized, or in charge.

Over time, the critic often reveals that it began its work in childhood, trying to protect the client from humiliation, exclusion, or punishment. It may carry the voice of a parent, teacher, or peer. It may believe that without its vigilance, the client will be rejected or harmed. When the Self listens with empathy, the critic often softens. It begins to trust that it is no longer alone in its burden—and that new strategies are possible.

Eventually, the critic may be invited to relinquish its extreme role and consider a new function—perhaps as an advisor, a guardian, or a discernment voice that operates without shame. This unburdening process is not about elimination, but about evolution. The critic, when integrated, becomes a wise and loyal ally.

AEDP: Transformational Affects and Dyadic Repair

While IFS works intrapsychically, AEDP emphasizes the healing power of relational and emotional experience in the therapy room. Diana Fosha describes AEDP as a model of “undoing aloneness” and “affirmative relational experience.” It recognizes that core emotional pain—especially shame, grief, and fear—becomes traumatic when it is faced alone. The critic often develops precisely because the child had to face overwhelming feelings without help.

In AEDP, the therapist provides a secure, regulated, and attuned presence that allows the client to access previously defended emotions. The critic is not fought but bypassed, in a sense—by helping the client drop beneath it into the core affect it protects. For example, a client who criticizes herself for crying may be supported to feel the sadness beneath the shame, while receiving real-time empathic reflection from the therapist.

As the core emotion is felt and processed within the context of secure attachment, the client experiences what Fosha calls “transformational affects”: emotions like relief, gratitude, pride, and self-compassion that arise when the nervous system registers safety. These experiences are not merely cathartic—they are reparative. They directly contradict the critic’s assumptions: that vulnerability is dangerous, that the self is unworthy, that help will not come.

Over time, these affective experiences are internalized as new procedural memory. The critic, no longer needed to block overwhelming affect, relaxes. The client begins to feel safe in emotional truth, and the shame once policed by the critic dissolves in the warmth of connection.

Levine emphasizes that trauma resolution involves completing defensive responses in a titrated, embodied way. Healing the critic may therefore involve not only relational reprocessing, but somatic discharge: shaking, breath, movement, or vocalization. These embodied expressions allow the nervous system to resolve what it could not finish at the time of the original wound. The critic softens when the body no longer believes it is under siege.

Van der Kolk’s work on sensorimotor integration and expressive therapies (such as yoga, EMDR, and dance) suggests that healing requires access to the body’s implicit memory networks. These practices can complement verbal therapy by helping clients embody a new relationship to the critic—one that is less fused, less frozen, and more fluid.

Recent literature on **psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy** also offers powerful insights into the healing of the inner critic. Compounds such as **psilocybin**, **MDMA**, and **ketamine**—when administered in safe, supportive, and therapeutically guided settings—have been shown to temporarily suspend rigid patterns of self-identification, including the internalized critical voice. These substances enhance neuroplasticity, increase connectivity between brain networks, and often elicit profound experiences of self-compassion, unity, and insight.

Studies of **psilocybin-assisted therapy** (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016) reveal that participants frequently describe encounters with their inner critic during sessions. Rather than being overwhelmed, they often experience a shift: the critic is seen with distance, understood in context, or even encountered with compassion. **MDMA-assisted therapy** (Mithoefer et al., 2013) similarly facilitates emotional processing in a state of reduced fear, enabling the reappraisal of traumatic memories and the reframing of internal voices. **Ketamine**, used in

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

combination with integrative psychotherapy, has also demonstrated capacity to soften rigid thought loops and catalyze new perspectives on long-held shame-based beliefs.

These therapies create a **neurobiological and psychological window** in which the inner critic can be met not with resistance, but with curiosity and compassion. Psychedelic experiences, particularly when integrated within a therapeutic relationship, may reveal the critic's origins in suffering, allow contact with the true self, and awaken a felt sense of worth that had long been obscured. In this way, **psychedelic psychotherapy** aligns with the principles articulated by **Fosha, Schwartz, and Winnicott**: transformation occurs not through force, but through deep relational presence, safety, and expanded states of awareness.

Relational Neuroplasticity: Rewiring the Shame Circuit

Dan Siegel's concept of interpersonal neurobiology offers the scaffolding for understanding why these therapies work: because the brain is plastic. Neural pathways formed in early life can be revised through new experiences, especially those that are emotionally meaningful and relationally co-regulated. Allan Schore's work adds that these changes happen primarily in the right brain—the seat of affective and relational processing.

The inner critic lives in implicit memory—in nonverbal expectations, bodily reactions, and emotional schemas. These can be rewired, but not through argument or affirmation alone. They require experiences of safety, resonance, and emotional attunement repeated over time. When the client begins to expect, at a felt level, that the self will be met with warmth rather than criticism, the old circuits lose their grip.

This neurobiological transformation is often subtle. A moment of being heard and not judged. A breath that arrives after a long-held tension. A glance in the mirror no longer filled with disgust. These moments accumulate. They mark the shift from a shame-based internal world to one of self-acceptance and coherence.

In this way, healing the inner critic is not a single event but a relational arc. It begins in fear, travels through grief, and ends in trust—not only in others, but in the self.

Jung saw healing not as the removal of symptom but as a movement toward wholeness. The critic is not something to be conquered, but a part of the soul to be brought into dialogue. To sit with the critic, to hear its fear and unmet longing, is an act of individuation: the reclamation of the split-off self. Jung's method of *active imagination* can be used alongside IFS and AEDP to enter into inner dialogue with the critic—not to argue with it, but to ask, “Who are you really?” and “What do you want for me?”

Nouwen reminds us that healing requires a return to identity rooted not in performance but in presence. When the critic begins to soften, clients often describe a shift: from striving to rest, from self-judgment to self-acceptance. This is not just psychological relief—it is spiritual homecoming. The critic, once fueled by the fear of rejection, begins to relax in the warmth of grace.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

Another crucial framework for healing the inner critic comes from attachment theory and **Allan Schore's** concept of the **internal working model**. Schore emphasizes that early emotional experiences with primary caregivers are encoded implicitly in the **right hemisphere** of the brain, forming unconscious expectations of self and others—what **John Bowlby** first called “internal working models.” These models guide how we manage emotion, form relationships, and interpret the social world.

The **inner critic** can be understood as a direct expression of these early models, especially in cases where attachment was insecure, misattuned, or shaming. In such contexts, the child learns implicitly: *“My feelings are too much,” “If I show myself, I will be rejected,”* or *“My worth is dependent on performance.”* These beliefs do not reside in explicit memory, but in the **felt experience of self**. The critic becomes the internalized voice that enforces the rules of early relational survival, suppressing vulnerability to maintain a sense of conditional belonging.

Healing the critic, then, often requires a deep **revision of these internal models**. This is not accomplished through insight alone, but through emotionally attuned therapeutic relationships that offer **new experiences of safety and resonance**. When a client feels seen, accepted, and affectively mirrored in moments of emotional expression, the old model—*“vulnerability is dangerous”*—is gradually replaced with a new one: *“I can be myself and still be loved.”* As the internal working model shifts, the critic's tone transforms as well. It no longer needs to protect the self through suppression and attack. In time, it may even evolve into an **inner caregiver**—one that affirms rather than shames, and safeguards rather than silences.

V. Clinical Applications and Integration

Understanding the inner critic as a neurobiological adaptation and relational protector reframes both the therapeutic stance and the clinical strategy. Rather than pathologizing the critic or attempting to override it with logic or positivity, the clinician's task becomes one of relational repair, intrapsychic integration, and compassionate witnessing. This section offers practical applications of the theories outlined so far, including case-based illustrations and therapeutic sequencing for working with the critic across different modalities.

Therapeutic Sequencing: Recognize, Relate, Reparent, Reintegrate

In both IFS and AEDP, transformation begins with **recognition**: helping clients distinguish the critic from the Self. This may involve externalizing the voice (“When that part says you're failing, what tone does it use?”), mapping its presence in the body, or tracking its emergence in relational dynamics. Naming the critic as a part—not the totality of the self—restores agency.

The next phase is **relating**: engaging the critic with curiosity and compassion. In IFS, this means asking questions like, “What do you want me to know?” or “What are you afraid would happen if you stepped back?” In AEDP, it may involve tracking the critic's activation in session and slowing down the process to access the feelings beneath it. The goal is not confrontation but contact. The therapist models a stance of empathy, which the client gradually internalizes.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

Reparenting follows: the emergence of Self-energy or co-regulated presence that offers the critic—and the exiled parts it protects—a new experience of safety. This may include guided imagery, inner dialogues, or embodied practices of support (e.g., placing a hand on the heart while speaking to a younger part). In this stage, transformational affects emerge: grief, relief, tenderness, self-compassion. These affective shifts signal neuroplastic change.

Finally, **reintegration** allows the critic to take on a new role. Clients may describe their inner voice as becoming more gentle, wise, or supportive. Rather than policing vulnerability, the critic becomes a discerning part of the self—one that sets boundaries, evaluates risk, or offers insight without shame. This is not suppression but maturation: the critic is no longer an orphaned protector, but a valued member of an inner family led by Self. Below we will look at a few cases of working clinically with the inner critic.

Case Vignette 1: The Inner Critic as Guardian — A Somatic Encounter with Compassion

Client Background

Marisa, a 39-year-old teacher and mother of two, came to therapy plagued by persistent self-judgment and perfectionism. Beneath her highly competent exterior was a near-constant sense of shame. Her internal dialogue was harsh: “You’ll never get it right,” “Don’t speak up—you’ll be embarrassed,” “You’re too emotional.” While she presented as composed and high-functioning, Marisa often experienced emotional shutdown in relationships, accompanied by somatic symptoms—tightness in the chest, shallow breathing, and insomnia.

Developmental History

Marisa grew up with a father who was emotionally distant and a mother who oscillated between intrusive control and withdrawal. Expressions of emotion—particularly sadness or need—were met with dismissal or ridicule. From an early age, she learned to keep her feelings hidden and rely on performance as a means to secure conditional approval.

Through the lens of **Diana Fosha’s AEDP**, Marisa’s inner critic could be seen as a **defensive affect**—a complex adaptation protecting her from the overwhelming vulnerability of unmet needs. In **IFS** terms, it was a manager part working tirelessly to avoid the reactivation of early shame.

Somatic Exploration and Relational Repair

After building trust and a foundation of safety, the therapist used an **integrative AEDP-IFS approach**, helping Marisa track the bodily sensations that accompanied her critical thoughts. One session brought a breakthrough: the critic emerged not as a voice in her head but as a tightening in her diaphragm and a bracing in her jaw. “It’s like I’m holding something in,” she whispered.

The therapist gently invited her to stay with the sensations and ask the critic what it needed. Marisa was surprised when, through imagery, the critic appeared as a clenched fist guarding a small child. As she made contact with this part, emotion flooded her system—grief, fear, and tenderness. “It’s trying to protect me from ever feeling that pain again,” she said.

With the therapist's attuned presence, Marisa thanked the critic for its efforts. The session became a **transformational moment**: the critic, once experienced as a source of oppression, was recontextualized as a loyal protector that had long been overburdened. The body softened. Her breath deepened.

Integration and Change

Over time, as Marisa developed an inner compassionate witness—what **Schwartz** calls the Self—the critic's voice began to shift. It still appeared under stress, but it no longer dominated. Her language changed from “I'm not enough” to “There's a part of me that's afraid, but I can care for it now.” With somatic tracking, relational safety, and deep internal dialogue, her system began to reorganize.

Therapeutic Significance

Marisa's journey illustrates how the inner critic, when explored through **somatic awareness and compassionate relational presence**, can transform from a punitive force into a source of wisdom. Her healing emerged not from silencing the critic, but from **welcoming its message, meeting it with empathy, and integrating its protective function into a more coherent and loving sense of self**.

Case Vignette 2: Reframing the Inner Narrative — Self-Leadership Through Internal Family Systems

Client Background

David, a 51-year-old attorney, entered therapy seeking relief from chronic stress, relationship conflict, and what he called his “brutal inner taskmaster.” Internally, he lived under a set of rigid rules: never relax, never be wrong, and never need anyone. He described his inner critic as a “drill sergeant” that kept him going but at a cost—frequent panic attacks, strained intimacy, and deep emotional loneliness.

Attachment and Trauma History

Raised in a household that valued achievement over emotional connection, David received praise only for success and was shamed for mistakes or emotional expression. His mother, a perfectionist herself, demanded high standards, while his father was emotionally disengaged. Vulnerability was equated with weakness. Over time, David internalized the message that love had to be earned through performance and that failure meant rejection.

Parts Work and Relational Reparenting

Using **Internal Family Systems (IFS)**, the therapist helped David identify and unblend from his inner critic, treating it as a “part” rather than the essence of who he was. In early sessions, David resisted—“If I let go of the critic, I'll fall apart,” he said. But with time, he began to witness this part with more curiosity than fear.

Through guided imagery and gentle inquiry, the critic revealed its origin: it had taken shape during adolescence, modeled after his mother's voice, to help him survive emotionally in a demanding household. Its motto was, “If I'm perfect, I'll be safe.” Beneath the critic's rigidity was a **young part terrified of abandonment**.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

In one session, David was able to speak to this part from what Schwartz calls the **Self**—a calm, compassionate internal leader. “You’ve done your job well,” he said. “But I can take care of us now.” The critic softened, and a profound sense of relief came over him. “It’s like I’m not at war with myself anymore,” he said.

Ongoing Repair

As therapy progressed, David integrated **Diana Fosha’s relational techniques**, allowing previously exiled emotions—grief, loneliness, tenderness—to surface in the context of safety. With the therapist’s attunement, he developed a more flexible inner system where the critic became less dominant and more integrated.

He also began somatic practices, tracking the bodily cues of when the critic emerged (tight chest, clenching jaw) and using breath and self-compassion to down-regulate. Over time, what was once a harsh inner commander began to feel like a cautious advisor—still protective, but no longer in control.

Therapeutic Significance

David’s case highlights the power of **parts work, emotional reparenting, and somatic integration** in transforming the inner critic. His healing wasn’t about dismantling discipline, but about **freeing his inner system from fear-based control** and cultivating **self-leadership**. The critic didn’t need to be silenced—it needed to **be seen, understood, and given a new role** in a system no longer governed by shame.

Case Vignette 3: Reclaiming the Self — Healing the Inner Critic with MDMA-Assisted Therapy

Client Background

Marisa, a 39-year-old woman, entered therapy reporting persistent shame, harsh self-judgment, and emotional disconnection in relationships. Though high-achieving in her career, she described herself as “never good enough,” plagued by an internal voice that berated her for every perceived failure. The inner critic was relentless, particularly in moments of vulnerability or closeness with others, where it would say things like, “You’re too much,” “You’ll scare them away,” or “You’re not worth loving.”

Marisa’s childhood history revealed emotional neglect and occasional verbal abuse from a parent struggling with depression. As a child, she became hyper-responsible and emotionally self-contained. Her critic, in IFS terms, functioned as a protective “manager part,” suppressing emotional expression to avoid rejection. Over time, this strategy became so internalized that she mistook it for her identity.

Preparation Phase

After over a year of psychodynamic and somatic therapy—including parts work and AEDP-based relational processing—Marisa elected to participate in **MDMA-assisted psychotherapy** under the care of trained clinicians within a research-approved protocol. Several preparatory sessions focused on building trust, setting intentions, and identifying core internal parts, including the critic, the exiled child-self, and a silent, dissociated protector.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

MDMA Session

During the MDMA session, Marisa entered a deeply relaxed and open state. Her critic initially appeared: a sharp, disembodied voice saying, “You shouldn’t be doing this.” But under the influence of MDMA, which increases oxytocin and dampens activity in fear circuits, she was able to meet the voice with curiosity rather than fear.

When the therapist gently invited her to ask the critic why it was there, the voice softened. “I’m trying to keep her from being hurt again,” it eventually responded. What followed was a profound internal encounter: Marisa visualized her critic as a rigid, armor-clad figure standing protectively in front of a small, weeping girl—her younger self.

Through tears, Marisa thanked the critic for its protection. “You did your job so well,” she whispered internally, “but I’m safe now. You don’t have to guard me like that anymore.” The critic slowly stepped aside, revealing the child. What emerged was not just catharsis but a reorganization of internal dynamics: the protective part, once fused with condemnation, became a caretaker rather than a jailer.

Integration Phase

In the weeks following, Marisa’s therapist helped her integrate the session with somatic tracking, journaling, and continued IFS work. The critic still surfaced, especially under stress, but with a different tone—less authoritarian, more like a concerned advisor. Marisa began developing a compassionate “inner leader” part capable of mediating between protector and child. Her language shifted from “I’m never enough” to “There’s a part of me that still fears not being enough, but I can care for it.”

Therapeutic Significance

This vignette illustrates how **psychedelic therapy** can de-fuse a harsh inner critic by enabling emotional access, somatic safety, and inner dialogue that would otherwise remain inaccessible. Under MDMA, with its capacity to reduce shame and increase interpersonal and intrapersonal empathy, clients can approach exiled or managerial parts with loving awareness. In Marisa’s case, the critic was not eliminated—it was **reintegrated**, transformed from a punitive voice into a caring internal presence.

Case Vignette 4: Repairing the Inner Working Model — Relational Healing of the Inner Critic

Client Background

Jonas, a 44-year-old software engineer, sought therapy for persistent anxiety, low self-esteem, and emotional disconnection. Despite professional success, he described a relentless inner dialogue that called him “lazy,” “incompetent,” and “emotionally weak.” He avoided intimacy, fearing exposure and rejection. The voice of his inner critic surfaced most powerfully during moments of interpersonal vulnerability—after sharing feelings, making mistakes, or asking for help.

Jonas had grown up with a highly critical father and an emotionally withdrawn mother. In early sessions, he recalled vivid memories of being shamed for crying or expressing need. “You’re too

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

sensitive,” his father would say. His mother rarely intervened. As a child, Jonas learned that to stay attached, he had to suppress emotion, overachieve, and stay silent.

Attachment History and the Inner Working Model

Drawing on **Allan Schore’s** work, the therapist conceptualized Jonas’s difficulties through the lens of **early right-brain relational trauma**. According to Schore, early affective experiences with caregivers are stored nonverbally in the right hemisphere and form an “**internal working model**”—an unconscious map of what to expect in relationships and how to manage emotional states.

Jonas’s internal working model had been shaped by *implicit shame*, emotional misattunement, and relational danger. In this schema, emotional expression equaled disconnection. The **inner critic**, in this framework, was not simply a cognitive distortion—it was the enforcer of a deep, implicit survival strategy: *suppress affect to preserve attachment*.

Therapeutic Process

The therapist used an **AEDP-informed approach**, prioritizing moment-to-moment affective attunement, facial mirroring, and right-brain-to-right-brain connection. In one session, after gently guiding Jonas into a memory of being criticized as a child, the therapist noticed his shoulders collapse and his voice constrict. Rather than interpret, she slowed the process and mirrored his emotional state: “It feels like you’re back there again... trying not to be seen. Is that right?”

Tears emerged—unexpected for Jonas. In this moment of dyadic affect regulation, he experienced something fundamentally new: a **corrective emotional experience**. The therapist stayed present, nonjudging, and emotionally regulated. This *earned attunement*, repeated over many months, gradually softened the internal map that had once equated vulnerability with rejection.

The Inner Critic Softens

As Jonas began to internalize the therapist’s steady, attuned presence, the voice of the critic shifted. Initially loud and automatic, it became more transparent, easier to recognize, and less believable. He began to say things like, “That sounds like my dad’s voice,” or “That’s the old alarm system going off.”

In later sessions, Jonas engaged in **parts work** informed by Richard Schwartz’s IFS model. He dialogued with his inner critic, which revealed itself as a terrified young protector—tasked with preventing humiliation and isolation. With the therapist’s help, he was able to speak to that part from a new core self-state, cultivated through the secure base of the therapeutic relationship.

Therapeutic Significance

Jonas’s case illustrates the **healing of the inner critic as a neurodevelopmental and relational transformation**. Through a therapeutic relationship characterized by right-brain attunement, safety, and emotional mirroring, the implicit inner working model began to reorganize. The critic—once a structural adaptation to chronic misattunement—became less dominant as new relational patterns were internalized.

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

As Schore emphasizes, *self-regulation is born in co-regulation*. By receiving what he never got in early life—consistent emotional presence—Jonas’s brain could form new templates. His inner world grew safer. The critic no longer had to guard so ferociously against the dangers of feeling.

Conclusion – A Gentle Voice Emerging

The inner critic, long misunderstood as pathology or internal sabotage, emerges in this exploration not as an enemy to be exiled but as a **protector formed in pain**—an embodiment of early strategies for relational survival. When viewed through the integrative lenses of affective neuroscience, attachment theory, somatic psychology, and depth psychotherapy, the critic becomes a window into the soul’s history: a voice that remembers what it cost to be vulnerable, visible, and in need.

As **Richard Schwartz’s Internal Family Systems** reveals, the critic is a “manager part” tasked with preventing emotional overwhelm. **Diana Fosha’s AEDP** framework helps us see how it guards against core affective states that once felt intolerable. **Antonio Damasio** and **Jaak Panksepp** show us that this voice is not just mental—it is embedded in the nervous system, a somatic trace of earlier threat and disconnection. Through the lens of **Donald Winnicott**, we see how the critic sustains the “false self”—a mask designed to preserve attachment at the expense of authenticity.

But the critic is also a **gateway**. As **Carl Jung** would insist, the shadow must be met, not silenced. The critic is part of the unlived self, the disowned child, the grief and longing that remain unspoken. **Henri Nouwen** reminds us that beneath the critic’s harshness is the aching false self, which has forgotten its original belovedness. And as **Peter Levine** teaches, trauma lives in the body—so the critic speaks in muscular tension, shallow breath, and the bracing posture of “I must not be seen.”

Healing, then, must be **relational, somatic, and integrative**. It must take place in spaces of profound emotional safety, where new neural and affective pathways can be laid down. As **Allan Schore** shows, the inner critic is scaffolded into our right-brain emotional circuitry through misattuned early relationships. Revision of the internal working model—the deep, unconscious map of self-in-relation—requires **moment-to-moment affective presence**, compassionate co-regulation, and right-brain mirroring. It requires what **Winnicott** called a “holding environment”—a place where the true self can come out of hiding.

Psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy—with substances like MDMA, psilocybin, and ketamine—adds yet another frontier to this healing journey. These tools can create expansive, non-ordinary states of consciousness in which the critic is not eliminated but seen anew: as a younger, frightened protector whose voice can be met with compassion. Psychedelics open a window into re-patterning the brain’s relationship with self, vulnerability, and love—offering what many clients describe as a sense of **inner homecoming**.

In the end, the inner critic is not a flaw to be eradicated but a messenger to be understood. Its presence is a **testament to the creative intelligence of the psyche**, which found ways to adapt, survive, and secure connection even in the absence of safety. But survival is not the same as

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com

aliveness. And so, as therapists, healers, and fellow humans, our task is not to silence the critic but to **listen for the longing beneath it**—the longing to be whole, to be seen, and to be loved just as we are.

That longing, once honored, becomes the path. And the critic, once welcomed, becomes the guide.

I occasionally use AI tools to assist with organizing, synthesizing, and refining my writing. The clinical perspective, judgments, and responsibility for the final work are my own and arise from more than thirty years of therapeutic practice and personal reflection

Brian Kennedy, MA LMFT

brian@mftassociates.com

Brian Kennedy MA LMFT
brian@mftassociates.com